

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,146		01/29/2004	Mark Berkeley Fitzhardinge	3955.104USC2	4625 .
23552	7590	03/28/2006		EXAM	INER
MERCHAI P.O. BOX 2		OULD PC	MITCHELL, K	ATHERINE W	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3677	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/767,146	FITZHARDINGE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Katherine W. Mitchell	3677				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta eply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
-		his action is non-final.					
,	,		rs prosecution as to the merits is				
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dienoeiti	on of Claims	. Expans quayio, ross ordi					
· · ·		15 47 50 55 is to see a small control to	ha and tastan				
	4) Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,12,13,15-21,24,28-30,32,-45,47-53,55 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>are 1,2,5,6,12,13,15-21,24,28-30,3</u>	<u>32,-45,47-53,55.</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reeau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1,2,5,6,12,13,15-21,24, drawn to method of repairing lined pipe.

Group II, claim(s) 28-30,32-45,47-53, 55, drawn to conveyance apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The only technical feature of the conveyance in claim 1 is that it is capable of traveling through a pipeline and comprises an inspection, excising, debris removal, and application means. The conveyance claim 28 requires a plurality of wheeled vehicles with a drive connected to the wheels that are capable of traveling along a pipeline. There is no shared special technical feature other than a conveyance apparatus, which is known in the art, such as that of Brockett et al US Patent 3164491 in view of Sigel, WO 88/07645. The conveyance apparatus could be used in other applications, such as mine inspections and repairs, and the pipeline could be repaired using non-wheeled vehicles.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Batzli on 3/22/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine W. Mitchell whose telephone number is 571-272-7069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 10 AM - 8 PM.

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katherine W Mitchell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

Kwm 3/22/2006